

CONFERENCE DESCRIPTION

Each year, the Keyman Modern Turkish Studies Program brings together scholars from around the world to discuss some of the most pressing issues facing Turkey from a global perspective. This year the conference's theme will be the Lausanne Conference and Treaty of July 24, 1923.

Lausanne was unique among the peace treaties that the victors of WWI settled with those states that would replace the defeated German, Habsburg, and Ottoman Empires: it reversed the Sèvres Treaty that had already been signed between the Allies and the Ottoman government on August 10, 1920. The Sèvres Treaty, which would have ceded much of the territory of the former Ottoman Empire to France, Britain, Italy, and Greece; created occupation zones around the Straits; and carved out Armenian and Kurdish territories, was never ratified. The Turkish nationalist resistance movement in Asia Minor was successful in negotiating a new peace agreement, and thus the Lausanne Treaty placed Eastern Thrace and Asia Minor under Turkish sovereignty.

Hence, Lausanne is considered a testament to the tenaciousness of the Turkish national resistance movement under the leadership of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, and has been called the "birth certificate" of the Turkish Republic. However, its "evil twin," the Sèvres Treaty has played an equally formative role in the development of Turkish national identity and identity politics. The memory of this abrogated agreement has conjured in popular belief in Turkey a condition known as the "the Sèvres Syndrome," referring to a conviction in various theories about seditious "external forces" [dış mihraklar] attempting to revive the treaty and weaken or carve up the republic. As the centenary of Lausanne approaches, however, the "Sèvres Syndrome" has been eclipsed by, or rather subsumed under, new conspiracy theories about the so-called "secret articles" of Lausanne that hinder Turkey's extraction of oil and other precious resources, showcasing the centrality of the material world to the configuration of political narratives and imaginaries. The Treaty has also become politicized through top-down discourses even as these conspiracy theories are adopted, circulated, and mobilized by ordinary people in everyday life. The inspiration and some of the raw material mirror the rhetoric used by the highest representatives of the AKP regime to discredit the legacy of Kemalism. They include the president who insinuates that Lausanne was more a capitulation than a victory that ceded territories that should have remained part of the Turkish Republic, if not a revived Ottoman Empire.

While acknowledging the historical significance of the Lausanne Treaty's unique position among post-WWI settlements in Eastern Europe and the Middle East, this conference seeks to analyze the conditions that made its conclusion possible and reconsider its aftermath up to the present day. The ethnic cleansing and demographic engineering of Anatolia started in the aftermath of the Congress of Berlin through the strategic settlement of Muslim refugees in areas where they would dilute the numbers of Christians and later be recruited to participate in massacres against the same. This process reached its peak during WWI under the genocidal regime of the Committee of Union and Progress. The internationally sanctioned principle of the "unmixing" of peoples that tacitly endorsed the outcomes of such policies in the aftermath of the war, and the subsequent emergence of minority regimes in southeastern and eastern Europe and the Middle East have lately been analyzed by political scientists and anthropologists as well as historians. While we aim to further these discussions, we also seek to carry out an interdisciplinary analysis by bringing together various perspectives - from disciplines such as sociology, anthropology, political theory, and political economy - to consider the complex and enduring legacies of the Lausanne Treaty in contemporary Turkey and beyond.

The Afterlives of Lausanne: Society, Politics, and Belonging after Empire
Keyman Modern Turkish Studies Program | Buffett Institute for Global Affairs
Northwestern University
May 26 and 27, 2023

CONFERENCE PROGRAM

FRIDAY, MAY 26

9:00 - 10:00 am

Breakfast

10:00 - 10:15 am

Opening Remarks

ipek Kocaömer Yosmaoğlu, Northwestern University

10:15 - 11:30 am

Keynote Lecture

Lerna Ekmekcioglu, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Your Turkey, my Lausanne: What to the Armenian is the 29th of October?

11:30 am - 12:30 pm

Lunch

12:30 - 2:00 pm

Panel I - Post-Wilsonian Principles and Realities

Cevat DARGIN, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Self – Determination for whom? Lausanne Treaty, the Kurds, and the Politics of International Law

Fidan MIRHANOGLU, French Institute of Geopolitics (IFG)

The Kurds and Oil Struggles at the Lausanne Agreement in 1923

Emel Akçalı, Associate Professor in International Relations at Kadir Has University, Istanbul

A Post-Lausanne Époque for Turkey in the Making?

Chair: Ekin Kurtiç, Northwestern University

Discussant: Nilay Özok-Gündoğan, Florida State University

2:15 - 2:30 pm

Break

2:30 - 4:00 pm

Panel II - Legacies and Contestations

Christina Banalopoulou, ERC-Starting Grant - Staging National Abjection: Theatre and Politics in Turkey and Its Diasporas

Turning the Mosaic into Marble: Contesting the Lausanne Treaty in Rum Theatre and Performance

Varuzhan Geghamyan, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Yerevan State University

Invention of Lausanne: Day of Peace as an Instrument to Construct Charismatic Leadership in the Early Turkish Republic

Philip Balboni, University of California, Berkeley

Contested Reconversions: Hagia Sophia, Crypto-Colonialism, and the Legacies of Lausanne

Chair: Thadeus Dowad, Northwestern University

Discussant: Yiğit Akın, Ohio State University

4:00 - 4:30 pm

Break

4:30 - 5:45 pm

Roundtable I

Ozan Ozavci, Utrecht University

Autopsy on an Empire: The Eastern Question and its Lausanne Moment

Mustafa Aksakal, Georgetown University & Aimee Genell, University of West Georgia

Life After Empire

Moderator: Roberto Mazza, Northwestern University

6:00 - 8:00 pm

Reception

SATURDAY, MAY 27

8 - 9 am

Breakfast

9:00 - 10:30 am

Book Panel

When Democracy Died by Hans-Lukas Kieser, The University of Newcastle, Australia

Commentator: Yeşim Bayar, Department of Sociology, St. Lawrence University

10:30 - 10:45 am

Break

10:45 am - 12:15 pm

Panel III - Discontinuities and Dispossession

Fatima-Ezzahrae Touilila, Columbia University

The Treaty of Sèvres and Lausanne: The Caliphate between the Lines

Ümit Kurt, The University of Newcastle

The Issue of the Armenian Homeland and the Abandoned Properties Question in the Treaty of Lausanne

Henry Clements, University of Oxford

An Ambivalent Minoritization: The Origins, Consequences, and Problematics of the Syriac Christians' Non-Recognition at Lausanne

Chair: Anoush Tamar Suni, Northwestern University

Discussant: Mustafa Aksakal, Georgetown University

12:15 - 2:30 pm

Lunch

Exhibition Presentation

Meşgul Şehir: İşgal İstanbul'unda Siyaset ve Gündelik Hayat" [Occupied City: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul under Occupation, 1919-1923]

Curators: Gizem Tongo, Middle East Technical University & **Daniel-Joseph MacArthur-Seal**, British Institute at Ankara

2:30 - 3:45 pm

Panel IV - Greek-Turkish Exchange of Populations

Lediona Shahollari, PhD Candidate, University of Michigan

Protector, Negotiator, and Power Broker: Albania and the 1923 Greek – Turkish Population Exchange

Dr. Rabia Harmanşah, Dumbarton Oaks

Landscapes of (non-)forgetting: People, Power, and Belonging on the Island of Imbros

Chair: Lauren Stokes, Northwestern University

Discussant: Ilay Romain Ors, Harvard University/ American College of Greece

3:45 - 4:15 pm

Break

4:15 - 5:45 pm

Roundtable II

Ilay Romain Ors, Harvard University/ American College of Greece

Reassessing Lausanne: notes on further research

Nilay Özok-Gündoğan, Florida State University

The Lausanne Treaty and the Making of Kurdish Statelessness

Roberto Mazza, Northwestern University

Down with the Turks, Viva Ataturk! The short-lived love for Mustafa Kemal in Palestine

Yiğit Akın, Ohio State University

A New Vocabulary of Rights and the Post-War Origins of the Treaty of Lausanne

Moderator: İpek Kocaömer Yosmaoğlu, Northwestern University

6-9 pm

Closing Reception

ABSTRACTS

Nilay Özok-Gündoğan

The Lausanne Treaty and the Making of Kurdish Statelessness

The Treaty of Lausanne, the final peace treaty that marked the end of the imperial era following World War I, brought different political outcomes for various ethno-national groups in the Middle East. For these groups, envisioning a new community and sovereignty in a post-imperial context, there were two contrasting possibilities: self-determination through the establishment of a nation-state or statelessness. While Lausanne granted international recognition to the modern Turkish nation-state, it made Kurdish self-determination practically unattainable. However, the statelessness of the Kurds was the culmination of significant events in the region's history, including the Great War, the Paris Peace Conference, and the Sevres Treaty. During these critical junctures, Kurdish political elites actively pursued diverse political agendas to secure a dignified political existence for Kurds amidst shifting borders, alliances, and identities.

Against this backdrop, this presentation will address two key questions: Firstly, what were the political dynamics leading up to the Lausanne Conference that resulted in the lack of recognition for the Kurds in the international community? Secondly, what were the political strategies, discourses, and instruments employed by Kurdish political elites to seek international recognition and ensure a sovereign existence for the Kurdish population.

Yiğit Akın

A New Vocabulary of Rights and the Post-War Origins of the Treaty of Lausanne

For much of the twentieth century, the history of the Treaty of Lausanne was narrated by historians with regard to its far-reaching consequences, and rightly so. The treaty brought a long and devastating period of successive wars to an end; it brokered the peace between Turks and their enemies; and, finally, it stipulated the largest forced population exchange in history until the Second World War. While invaluable, however, this exclusive focus on Lausanne's consequences might obscure the complex political, intellectual, and cultural post-war climate that conditioned the development of the treaty. Like their counterparts at the negotiating table, the Turks at Lausanne were fully conscious of the power and importance of the new global language of sovereignty, civilization, and self-determination that emerged so prominently in the aftermath of the First World War. They formulated their claims and arguments by deploying this new vocabulary of rights. After all, these were the subjects that they were passionately discussing since the end of the war. This presentation will thus place the Treaty of Lausanne in its post-World War I context and traces its origins in the "fluid intellectual economy" of the post-war Ottoman Empire.

Roberto Mazza

Down with the Turks, Viva Ataturk! The short-lived love for Mustafa Kemal in Palestine

Palestinian support for the Ottomans gradually collapsed throughout the war, but with the arrival of the British and Zionist some Arab nationalist organizations drew inspiration from Mustafa Kemal and his leadership against the Greek invasion. This love affair was however short-lived as in 1923 after Lausanne was signed it was clear that the Palestinians were now on a different path, given that new borders were officially recognized but more importantly a citizenship law was first drawn and eventually approved in 1925 that left out all Palestinians that were abroad who found themselves orphan of Ottoman citizenship and deprived of their Palestinian one.

Gizem Tongo & Daniel-Joseph MacArthur-Seal

Meşgul Şehir: İşgal İstanbul'unda Siyaset ve Gündelik Hayat" [Occupied City: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul under Occupation, 1919-1923]

The ongoing Istanbul Research Institute exhibition "Occupied City: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul, 1918-1923" explores the urban politics, society, and culture of Istanbul under Allied occupation, a period laden with a high degree of uncertainty and conflicting possibilities. Who would remain and who would rule was the subject of rumor and speculation, exacerbated by the contradicting statements of Allied statesmen, successive Ottoman cabinets, and the Ankara government. Istanbul was in flux, not only in terms of its shifting politics, but also in its social and cultural ideas and practices. These changes were produced by the multiplicity of overlapping regimes and converging populations that defined the period, including servicemen, bureaucrats, merchants, refugees, labourers, intellectuals, and artists from not only the occupying powers and their colonies, but also from neighbouring regions dislocated by conflict. Interactions between these new arrivals and local residents produced an archival and material legacy that make this perhaps the most richly documented in the city's history. Despite the wealth of sources available, the occupation of Istanbul has long been overlooked in the writing of the history of the Turkish War of Independence and European imperialism in the Middle East, and it is telling that this is the first exhibition to explicitly examine the subject. Curated with the support of six consultant researchers with complementary thematic and linguistic expertise, the exhibition brings together textual, visual, and auditory materials ranging from photographs, paintings, songs, and film to letters, diaries, memoirs, and official documents from collections in Turkey, France, Britain, Greece, Armenia, Russia, and further afield in an effort to capture the diversity of thought and experience in occupied Istanbul. This talk will explain the research, curation, and objectives of the exhibition and discuss its reception and impact within the context of broadening of academic and public interest in the armistice-era city.

Ilay Romain Ors

Reassessing Lausanne: notes on further research

The Treaty of Lausanne is a major international agreement that became a historical turning point with a lasting impact on the signatory parties and beyond, having vast effects which we are still discussing hundred years later. During this long century, academic scholarship and policy making has turned back to Lausanne at various intervals and with varying concentration, producing literature and debates that touch upon a wide array of issues, making the Treaty "a source document" that became the reference point to starting most discussions of contemporary significance.

Yet there is arguably still more unexplored territory with respect to Lausanne. It is of importance to address some of those areas that have been left out or understudied, either because they were not included in the Treaty even though they were highly relevant to the matters on the table, or because they just did not receive their due attention. Rather than attempting a comprehensive overview of the literature to date, I will be outlining some of the directions for future studies that can form the basis of a road map for further research on Lausanne in its centennial.

Cevat DARGIN

Self – Determination for whom? Lausanne Treaty, the Kurds, and the Politics of International Law

The Kurds, despite being the fourth largest ethnic group in the Middle East after the Arabs, Turks, and Persians, are rarely acknowledged in major interstate or international agreements as active agents. Typically, they are only

recognized as part of a "problem" or "question." One exception to this pattern was the Treaty of Sèvre (1920), which acknowledged the creation of an independent or self-governing Kurdistan, subject to boundary demarcations with Armenia, another potential post-Ottoman nation-state recognized by the treaty. However, the Treaty of Lausanne (1923), which succeeded Sèvres, did not even acknowledge the existence of the Kurds. The contemporary situation of "the Kurdish question" in Turkey, as well as its historical evolution, is largely a legacy of the Treaty of Lausanne. This article examines the three-year journey of the Kurds from being acknowledged as a nation with autonomous status to being refused even minority status. The article also uses the case of the Kurds to demystify the so-called "principle of self-determination" advocated by all the signatories of both treaties in question. It argues that the question of "self-determination for whom?" that underlies the entire nation-state-based modern political system is unanswerable, since the imagined congruence between nation and state, which gives rise to the phrase "nation-state," corresponds to a fleeting era in the long history of the ultimately anarchic environment in which states arise and disappear.

Fidan MIRHANOGLU

The Kurds and Oil Struggles at the Lausanne Agreement in 1923

The oil issue has been a prevalent one on the Middle East's agenda and that of the world for almost a hundred years. This work will be on the relationship between finding the oil in the Kurdish region and the non-formation of the Kurdish nation state after the collapse of the Ottoman Empire. In addition, this paper will also examine Kurdistan and the Kurdish struggle for independence, as well as the formation of the new borders on the lands where the Kurds lived at the end of the Ottoman Empire's sovereignty. The establishment of nation-states in the Kurdistan region and the role of oil in the sharing of the Ottoman Empire's territories will also be highlighted with articles of the Lausanne Agreement in 1923. This study made use of some archive's documents from France and Britain, especially foreign diplomatic documents.

Emel Akçalı

A Post-Lausanne Époque for Turkey in the Making?

Drawing upon both governmental and scholarly contestations over the 1923 Lausanne Treaty that recognized the boundaries of the Turkish nation state, this paper aims to scrutinize whether a new post-Lausanne époque is in the making for Turkey in its centenary. To this end, the paper will first analyze the nature of such contestations, the governmental policies and practices thus far and then will evaluate whether a radical or a progressive change may ever be possible. The paper will also try to assess whether the upcoming election in Turkey has the potential to restore the Treaty's position and prestige back in its place. Finally, the paper will also examine what exactly such contestations over the Lausanne Treaty mean for the current international state system and whether such a system would ever allow a radical change in the terms of this Treaty.

Christina Banalopoulou

Turning the Mosaic into Marble: Contesting the Lausanne Treaty in Rum Theatre and Performance

How does theatre serve as a site where the consequences of the Treaty of Lausanne are contested and reinvented? How do the communities that were exempted from the population exchange between Greece and Turkey conducted under the Treaty utilize performance in their negotiations of the politics of citizenship and belonging? My presentation will explore these questions by focusing on theatre and performance produced by the Rum minority in Turkey since 1923. Combining ethnography, participant observation, semi-structured interviews and oral histories with archival research that includes personal, unorthodox, and hidden institutional archives that

have not been exploited before, my presentation will examine the ways in which Rum theatre both reproduces and challenges the Treaty's enduring nationalist logics. I will show how Rum theatre and performance both resist and contribute to turning what was once experienced as a "polyphonic mosaic" into a "nationally coherent marble."

By demonstrating that artistic and everyday performances of citizenship and belonging are mutually constitutive, I will make the case that Rum theatre and performance offers important insights into the politics of belonging in the aftermath of the Treaty of Lausanne. I will investigate how Rum theatre has been a vital site for language preservation, political organization, and fundraising that secures social mobility for those exposed to precarity because of the nation-building processes initiated by the Treaty. I will focus on the works of the radical editor and educator Omiros Bekes in the early 1920s and those of the revolutionary feminist theatre practitioner and pedagogue Athena Gerasimou between the mid'40s and the mid '70s. Through studying aesthetically and politically important yet almost entirely ignored theatre practices I will address the ways in which the Rum populations that were exempted from the exchange between Turkey and Greece continue to precariously negotiate the politics of citizenship and belonging.

Varuzhan Geghamyan, Ph.D.

Invention of Lausanne: Day of Peace as an Instrument to Construct Charismatic Leadership in the Early Turkish Republic

Charismatic leaderships & personality cults were central factors of the political regimes in Turkish Republic playing critical roles in different dimensions and senses. From strengthening of ruling elite's legitimacy to providing the social solidarization and construction of the national identity – personality cults were being constantly constructed by the elites and used extensively.

In case of İ. İnönü one of the crucial elements of his cult both during Atatürk's presidency and after was the *Myth of Lausanne Victory*. In the early years of Republic, the diplomatic history of Lausanne negotiations along with İnönü as central hero was constructed in order to be used in official state narrative and discourse not only as a proof of İnönü's genius and, subsequently, his legitimacy to be in the ruling elite. The image of the "architect of political victory of Lausanne" played an important role in providing legitimacy for Atatürk and his closest partners in the power struggle in the first years of the Republic. İnönü's "Lausanne profile" was also instrumental in the process of nation-building and formation of the new national identity. The processes of construction and reconstruction of this new image, which was the central image of İnönü until the end of 1940s, involved also members of society.

Since the first day of the establishment of the republican regime, state and national holidays have been actively used for political socialization, legitimization, and mobilization. The invention of the Lausanne Day of Peace (*Lozan Sülh Bayramı*) became the most important tool to reinforce the significance of the Lausanne legacy. My presentation examines the phenomenon of charismatic leadership in the context of authoritarian modernization of Turkish traditional society. Using the example of Lausanne Day celebrations, it analyzes the place of invented holidays in the construction of charisma of Turkish leaders and Ismet İnönü in particular.

Philip Balboni

Contested Reconversions: Hagia Sophia, Crypto-Colonialism, and the Legacies of Lausanne

My paper, "Contested Reconversions: Hagia Sophia, Crypto-Colonialism, and the Legacies of Lausanne," uses a mix of ethnographic, historiographic, and postcolonial approaches to examine the 2020 reconversion of the Hagia Sophia to the status of a mosque after 85 years as a museum. The paper frames both Atatürk's 1935 decision to secularize the monument and the 2020 decision to reconsecrate it in regard to a specific mode of cultural politics, emergent from the history of European Orientalism and the memory of the post-WWI occupation of Istanbul and

the Treaty of Sèvres. This mode of cultural politics (which I call, following anthropologist Michael Herzfeld, "crypto-" rather than "post-"colonial) has perpetuated anxieties over the specter of Western cultural and geopolitical pressure. The paper shows how the Hagia Sophia became central to such crypto-colonial anxieties in the early years of the Turkish Republic, binding the status of the monument to deep-seated political ideologies and structures of sentiment, and ultimately resulting in the reversal of this status in 2020.

Fatima-Ezzahrae Touilila

The Treaty of Sèvres and Lausanne: The Caliphate between the Lines

In the interwar period, the Caliphate became a particularly popular topic among the colonialists, as they became concerned with the future of the Ottoman Empire and its impact on Muslims under their dominion. Therefore, the Caliphate question shaped both the negotiations and the outcome of the treaties of Sèvres and Lausanne. Yet, the historiography on these treaties largely occults the caliphal concerns that influenced them. What this paper proposes to do is to replace these treaties in the context of the discussions around the Caliphate in which they took place, by looking at different drafts of the treaties and the diplomatic exchanges that surrounded them. It also proposes to look at the reactions the treaties generated among the colonized, at the former margins of the empire, and especially among North Africans. This paper contends that our understanding of these seminal treaties in international law and imperial worldmaking could be illuminated by this perspective.

Ümit Kurt

The Issue of the Armenian Homeland and the Abandoned Properties Question in the Treaty of Lausanne

The Treaty of Lausanne emerged the problem of the return of Armenians to the newly formed Republic in Turkey. It was impossible to even discuss their return since the Turkish government claimed that the Armenians had caused great harm by participating in revolts and rebellions during World War I and engaging in activities behind enemy lines. The government also used all means possible to prevent the return of Armenians living outside of Turkey and the repossession of their properties. This paper examines the legal maneuvering in which the Turkish Republic and the other signatories to the Treaty of Lausanne engaged and explores how surviving Armenians lost any hopes about returning home and reclaiming the properties they were forced to abandon. It aims to show that Turkey, contrary to the principle of the Lausanne Treaty, was determined to not return the properties of Armenians. Although the government formed it as a question of security, the real reason was economic plundering.

Henry Clements

An Ambivalent Minoritization: The Origins, Consequences, and Problematics of the Syriac Christians' Non-Recognition at Lausanne

This paper considers the politics of minority recognition by looking at the history of the Syriac Christians in the late Ottoman Empire and modern Turkey. Unlike the Greek Orthodox, the Armenians, and the Jews, the Syriac Christians are notable for having not been accorded formal minority status in the Treaty of Lausanne. Early-twentieth-century Syriac leaders, conscious of the risks minority visibility could carry, elected to forego such recognition and the political rights that came with it. Taking this important moment in the history of minority politics in Turkey as a point of departure, this paper contextualizes the Syriac leadership's decision by returning to the millet-based governance of the period of late-Ottoman reform—a crucial precursor to the minority politics instituted by Lausanne. In doing so, it seeks to clarify what minority recognition meant to the Syriac Christians at

the end of the Ottoman Empire and the dawn of the Turkish Republic. It is concerned to show how minority recognition for the Syriac Christians came with a certain promise but also with great peril. It further tries to locate the seeming inescapability of the logic of "majority-minority" in the secularizing transformations of the late Ottoman Empire.

Lediona Shahollari

Protector, Negotiator, and Power Broker: Albania and the 1923 Greek – Turkish Population Exchange

Albania remained the only Balkan state to not sign the 1923 Treaty of Lausanne. Furthermore, the Convention for the Exchanged Populations between Greece and Turkey did not address Albanian-speaking minorities across Greece or Turkey. My research illuminates how the exchange implicated regional actors and transformed migration patterns in Southeastern Europe. This paper will examine the Albanian state's role in the 1923 Greek-Turkish population exchange through a discussion of two cases: The Muslims Cams of Epirus and the 1924 Mixed Commission survey to distinguish between Turkish and Albanian Muslims in Epirus and Macedonia; and the case of Vinani families from Kastoria, Greece who were deported to Turkey and petitioned to the Albanian consulates to help resettle the refugees in Albania. In doing so, I argue that Albania became an important broker in the region that complicated the process of both categorization and deportation of Albanian-speaking Greek nationals to Turkey.

Dr. Rabia Harmanşah

Landscapes of (non-)forgetting: People, Power, and Belonging on the Island of Imbros

The 1923 Treaty of Lausanne formed the basis of the present borders between Greece and Turkey and led to the compulsory population exchange that had profound, long-term consequences on all aspects of life in the region. This research studies those Orthodox Christians on the island of Imbros who remained on the 'wrong' side of the shore after the 1923 population exchange, but who then faced discrimination, expulsion, being deprived of citizenship and properties or forced emigration. The work investigates the state's efforts to deterritorialize memory and landscape to create a 'national space' while destabilizing local communities' strategies of resistance. Imbros Greeks of Turkey is an ideal case study to understand how the state dismantles indigenous practices of inhabiting landscape and reconfigures it with administrative, military, and civic infrastructure. The paper depends on ethnographic fieldwork on the island of Imbros and archival research in Istanbul, Ankara, and Athens in 2022.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ipek Kocaömer Yosmaoğlu (Ph.D., Princeton, 2005) is a historian of the late Ottoman Empire and early Republican Turkey. Prior to joining the History Department at Northwestern she taught at the University of Wisconsin-Madison and was a member of the Institute of Advanced Study in Princeton. The primary question motivating her research is why and how people commit or condone violence in various forms. Her first book, Blood Ties: Religion, Violence, and the Politics of Nationhood in Ottoman Macedonia (Cornell, 2014) traced the emergence of nationhood among Christian Orthodox peasants in Macedonia during the final decades of Ottoman rule in the region. Her current project is about Ottoman and later Turkish Jewish communities' experience of subjecthood and citizenship at the dawn of the age of nationalism and the nation-state.

Lerna Ekmekcioglu is Associate Professor of History and the director of Women's and Gender Studies Program at MIT. Her first monograph, Recovering Armenia: The Limits of Belonging in Post-Genocide Turkey, came out from Stanford University Press in 2016 and in Turkish translation in 2021 (*Bir Milleti Diriltmek: Toplumsal Cinsiyet Ekseninde Türkiye'de Ermeniliğin Yeniden İnşası*, Aras Yayıncılık). In 2006 she co-edited a volume in Turkish on the first five Ottoman Armenian feminists (*Bir Adalet Feryadı*, Aras Yayıncılık). Her major articles include one on the treatment of minorities in interwar (*IJMES*, 2014) and another on the gendered aftermath of the Armenian Genocide (*CSSH*, 2013). She recently (2023) published a research article on the Armenian demands at the Lausanne Conference for a "National Home" within Turkey (in *They Made Peace, What is Peace?*). Currently she is collaborating with Dr. Melissa Bilal for a book and digital humanities project titled "Feminism in Armenian: An Interpretive Anthology and Documentary Archive" (Stanford U. Press, 2025). Her two articles that will soon appear focus on two distinct themes: one is about Ottoman-Russian Armenian woman imprisoned in Constantinople Central Prison's women's section during World War I and the other is on communist feminist Armenian women in post-WWII Paris.

Nilay Özok-Gündoğan is an Associate Professor of Ottoman and Middle East history at Florida State University. She obtained her Ph.D. from Binghamton University. Her research focuses on modern state-building, the formation of elites, property regimes, and intercommunal conflict and coexistence in the Ottoman Empire. Her work encompasses interconnected histories of the Ottoman, Kurdish, Armenian, and Turkish people. She also writes about methodological approaches in Kurdish studies. Her first book, titled The Kurdish Nobility in the Ottoman Empire: Loyalty, Autonomy, and Privilege, was published in 2022 by Edinburgh University Press. Her second book project, currently titled State Mineral Extraction, State-Making, and Colonialism: The History of the Keban-Ergani Mines in the Ottoman Empire, 1720-1870, explores the colonization of Kurdistan through mineral extraction and investigates the political, economic, and ecological consequences of this process in the frontier region. Nilay Özok-Gündoğan's work has been published in the Journal of Social History, International Journal of Middle East Studies, Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East, New Perspectives on Turkey, as well as in edited volumes. She frequently contributes op-eds on Kurdish politics and history to Jadaliyya and serves as the book review editor for the Journal of the Ottoman and Turkish Studies Association.

Yiğit Akın is an associate professor at the Ohio State University. His research interests include social and cultural history of the late Ottoman Empire and early Republican Turkey, with a particular focus on the First World War and its aftermath, war and society, nationalism, and social movements. Akın is the author of two books. The first, *Gürbüz ve Yavuz Evlatlar: Erken Cumhuriyet'te Beden Terbiyesi ve Spor* (İletişim, 2004), offers a new framework for thinking about the relationship between sports and physical education, governmentality, public health, and

nationalism in early republican Turkey. His second book, *When the War Came Home: The Ottomans' Great War and the Devastation of an Empire* (Stanford, 2018), examines the Ottoman Empire's catastrophic experience of the First World War and analyzes the impact of the war on the empire's civilian population. He is currently working on two book projects on the post-World War I years in the Ottoman Empire from a global perspective and the social and cultural history of death in the late Ottoman Empire and Republican Turkey.

Ozavci is Assistant Professor of Transimperial History at Utrecht University, co-convenor of the Lausanne Project, Security History Network and Turkey Studies Network in the Low Countries. His publications include Dangerous Gifts: Imperialism, Security, and Civil Wars in the Levant, 1798-1864 (Oxford University Press, 2021) and Intellectual Origins of the Republic: Ahmet Ağaoğlu and the Genealogy of Liberalism in Turkey (Brill, 2015). Dr Ozavci is currently finalizing his third monograph on the invention of the Eastern Question, which will be published by Bloomsbury. He's also the recipient of a major NWO (Dutch Science Council) grant which will allow him to write his new monograph on sanitary internationalism in the Middle East and North Africa between 1792 and 1942.

Mustafa Aksakal is Associate Professor of History and Nesuhi Ertegün Chair of Modern Turkish Studies at Georgetown University, where he teaches courses in the history of the Modern Middle East and North Africa. His publications include "The Division of the Ottoman Debt" (with Patrick Schilling), "Hungry for Change: Civilian Challenges to the State and Demands for Food" (with Melanie Tanielian), and *The Ottoman Road to War in 1914*.

Aimee Genell is an historian of the late Ottoman Empire and its relations with Europe. Her work incorporates Digital Humanities to bring new perspectives to the history of international relations and international law. She received her Ph.D. from Columbia University in 2013 and held a Postdoctoral Fellowship in International Security Studies at Yale University. She has taught at the University of Miami and at the University of California, Berkeley. At present, she is an Assistant Professor of Islamic World History at the University of West Georgia.

Roberto Mazza is currently a Visiting Lecturer at Northwestern University. He is teaching courses on the history of Jerusalem and Global History of Conspiracy Theories. He is the host of Jerusalem Unplugged and a regular host for the New Books Network specializing in books about Middle Eastern history.

Hans-Lukas Kieser is a modern historian at the University of Newcastle, Australia, and at the University of Zurich, Switzerland. His current research focuses on the emergence of the post-Ottoman Middle East and the Turkish nation-state, analyzing political biographies and the relationship between state formation, violence, and sociohistorical thought. His books in English include When Democracy Died: The Middle East's Enduring Peace of Lausanne (2023), Talaat Pasha: Father of Modern Turkey and Architect of Genocide (2018), Nearest East: American Millennialism and Mission to the Middle East (2010) and several edited volumes, most recently Remembering the Great War in the Middle East: From Turkey and Armenia to Australia and New Zealand (2022).

Yeşim Bayar is an Associate Professor of Sociology at St. Lawrence University, New York. She holds a M.Sc. in Development Studies from the London School of Economics and Political Science, and a Ph.D. in Sociology from McGill University. Her research interests include nationalism, citizenship, immigration, and state-minority relationship. Bayar's work has been published in various journals including the *British Journal of Sociology, Nations and Nationalism, Nationalities Studies, Nationalism and Ethnic Politics, Journal of Historical Sociology, and Ethnicities*.

Gizem Tongo is an art and cultural historian specializing in the history of the late Ottoman Empire. She holds a doctorate in Oriental Studies from University of Oxford. Upon graduation, she held two postdoctoral positions, first at the University of Oxford and later at the British Institute at Ankara. She received awards and grants from, among others, the British Society for Middle Eastern Studies, Barakat Trust, Gulbenkian Foundation, Hrant Dink Foundation, and the Historial de la Grande Guerre de Péronne in France. Her publications appeared in academic journals and edited volumes. Among her recent publications is the *Bibliography of Armistice-era Istanbul* that she co-wrote with Daniel-Joseph MacArthur-Seal. She has also been involved in curating and writing material for exhibitions, including co-curating an art exhibition at Salt Galata Istanbul titled *Mihri: A Migrant Painter of Modern Times* (2019) and a research exhibition at Istanbul Research Institute titled *Occupied City: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul, 1918–1923* (2023). She has worked as a lecturer in various institutions, including Oxford University, Middle East Technical University, and Victoria & Albert Museum. Currently she is an honorary fellow at the British Institute at Ankara and affiliated to the Faculty of Architecture in Middle East Technical University.

Daniel-Joseph MacArthur-Seal is the Assistant Director at the British Institute at Ankara and a historian of late Ottoman and early Republican Istanbul. He received his PhD in History from the University of Cambridge in 2015. His thesis, later published as Britain's Levantine Empire, 1914-1923 (Oxford University Press, 2021), compares British military occupations of Alexandria, Thessaloniki and Istanbul during the First World War and its Aftermath. MacArthur-Seal later joined the British Institute At Ankara as a post-doctoral fellow from 2014-2017, working on a research project examining the history of UK-Turkey relations in the first half of the twentieth century, now published as an edited volume, From Enemies to Allies: Turkey and Britain, 1918-1960 (Routledge, 2022). From 2017-2019, he was Research Assistant Professor at Hong Kong Baptist University, teaching Middle Eastern history and researching the opium trade between Turkey and East Asia in the interwar period. He has published further articles and chapters on nightlife, theatre, music, sex work, law and policing in occupied Istanbul, and acted as cocurator to the 2023 Istanbul Research Institute Exhibition Occupied City, 1918-1923: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul.

Ilay Romain Ors is an Associate Professor in Social Anthropology. She studied at Bogazici University Istanbul (BA in Sociology, BA in Political Science, and International Relations), University College London (MSc in Social Anthropology) and then received her PhD in Anthropology and Middle Eastern Studies at Harvard University. Her dissertation work on the Rum Polites, the Greek Orthodox community of Istanbul, was later revised and published as Diaspora of the City: stories of cosmopolitanism from Athens and Istanbul (Palgrave 2018). Ors is based in Athens as a faculty member at the American College of Greece. She is currently a Fellow at the Harvard University Center for Hellenic Studies. She continues to research and publish on Rum Polites, Greek diaspora, Asia Minor, Aegean migrations, Dodecanese islands, city identity, and Greek-Turkish relations.

Cevat DARGIN is a scholar with expertise in the modern history of the Middle East, focusing on the Kurds in the late Ottoman Empire and post-Ottoman territories. He holds a PhD from Princeton University's Department of Near Eastern Studies. He is currently a Manoogian Postdoctoral Fellow in Armenian Studies at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, where he is affiliated with the Department of History. Among other publication projects, Dr. Dargin is currently working on a book manuscript titled *The Race Question in the Middle East: Ethnicity, Religion, Gender, and Environment in the Making of Dersim 38*.

Fidan MIRHANOGLU has received her undergraduate and master's education in history. She will defend her thesis on October 27, 2023, with the title "History and Geopolitics of Oil Issues in Iraqi Kurdistan 1916-1923 / 2005-2017." She teaches geopolitics classes at Paris Business School. She has published semi-academic articles in

Turkish, Kurdish, French, and English. She has an upcoming article titled "Oil or State? The Role of Elites in Democracy and Referendum in Iraqi Kurdistan between 2003 and 2017" for a special issue at the Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism journal in 2023.

Emel Akçalı is an Associate Professor of International Relations. She teaches and researches about environmental geopolitics, critical security studies, diplomacy, state, society, conflict, and politics in the Middle East and North Africa, social movements and upheavals, neoliberal governmentality, non-Western International Relations, and alternative globalist geopolitical discourses. She was awarded the Central European University Institute of Advanced Study and Aix-Marseille University Institute for Advanced Study resident fellowships for her research on the challenges of state and societal transformation in Tunisia. She received the CATS-Centre for Applied Turkey Studies in Germany grant to work on environmental geopolitics in the Southeastern Mediterranean. Writer of many peer-reviewed articles, she is also the author of *Chypre: Un enjeu géopolitique actuel* (Cyprus, a contemporary geopolitical game) published by l'Harmattan in Paris in 2009. She has a forthcoming book on Going Green in Turkey: Environmental Geopolitics in the Southern Mediterranean from Edinburgh University Press.

Christina Banalopoulou holds a PhD in Theatre and Performance Studies from the University of Maryland, College Park. She currently works as an archival research associate in the European Research Council-funded project Staging National Abjection: Theatre and Politics in Turkey and Its Diasporas at Kadir Has University, Istanbul. Christina's primary areas of interest include minoritarian performance, feminist economics, and the intersections between the somatic and the political, with a focus on the Mediterranean. Her essays have been published or are forthcoming in peer-reviewed journals, including *Interventions: International Journal of Postcolonial Studies*, *Rethinking Marxism. The Journal of Philosophical Economics, and Performance Philosophy*.

Varuzhan Geghamyan earned his Ph.D. in history from Saint Petersburg State University (Russia). Currently, he works at Yerevan State University (Armenia), Faculty of Oriental Studies as an assistant professor. As an expert on Turkey and the Middle East, he worked in the Ministry of Defence of RA, RA Government bodies (2016-2018). In 2019-2021 he was the adviser of Armenia's President Armen Sarkissian on Turkey and the Middle East. As an expert Dr. Geghamyan appeared on Forbes, Newsweek, Fox News, Al Jazeera, RIAC, Al Ahram, SBS, etc. with op-eds and interviews. He has authored 3 monographs ("History of Turkish Republic," "Handbook of Modern Azerbaijan", "Hate Speech against Armenia in Turkey"), 11 scientific articles, numerous analytical reports about regional political and geopolitical developments.

He speaks Armenian, Russian, English, Azerbaijani, Turkish, Persian.

Philip Balboni is a PhD candidate in anthropology at the University of California, Berkeley. His doctoral research concerns the legacies of Orientalism and Westernization in contemporary Turkish politics and society. His work has appeared in the journals *Qui Parle* and *History of the Present* and is forthcoming in *Anthropological Theory*. His writing has also appeared in *The Journal of Democracy* and the *London Review of Books* blog.

Fatima-Ezzahrae Touilila is a PhD candidate in the Department of Middle Eastern, South Asian and African Studies at Columbia University. She holds degrees in Law and Political Theory from Sciences Po (Paris) and Columbia University. Her research brings together history and political philosophy. Her current project focuses on the French colonization in North Africa and its conception and management of Islamic institutions at the turn of the 20th century.

Ümit Kurt is an Assistant Professor in the School of Humanities, Creative Industry, and Social Sciences (History) and an affiliate of the Centre for the Study of Violence at the University of Newcastle, Australia. A Fellow of the Royal Historical Society, he is the co-author of The Spirit of the Laws: The Plunder of Wealth in the Armenian Genocide. He is the author of award-winning book, "The Armenians of Aintab: The Economics of Genocide in an Ottoman Province", by Harvard University Press (2021).

Henry Clements is a Postdoctoral Researcher in the Faculty of History at the University of Oxford. His research concerns the history of religion and secularism in the late Ottoman Empire and modern Middle East. Having earned his PhD from Yale University, he is now completing a book on the Syriac Christians of the Ottoman Empire while beginning work on a second project on new ideas about history and religion in the modern Arabic- and Turkish-speaking worlds.

Lediona Shahollari is a PhD Candidate in history at the University of Michigan. She is a scholar of Europe and the Eastern Mediterranean, with a focus on Albania and Albanian-speaking refugees. Her research focuses on questions around forced migration, citizenship, resettlement, and the Ottoman imperial legacy in the Balkans. Her dissertation explores the central role Albania played in resettling refugees and migrants uprooted because of the 1923 Greek-Turkish population exchange. She traces Albanian-speaking refugees who forcibly leave from the Balkans after the 1923 Greek-Turkish population exchange to Turkey, who then try to find their way to Albania.

Dr. Rabia Harmanşah is a Mellon Fellow in Urban Landscape Studies at Dumbarton Oaks. She holds a Ph.D. in Anthropology from University of Pittsburgh and specializes in political anthropology, ethnoreligious conflict, memory studies, and religion with a geographical focus on Southeast Europe. She has conducted long-term ethnographic research on ethnoreligious groups in Turkey and Cyprus and involved with NGO projects addressing challenges of social conflict and cultural heritage. She taught classes at University of Pittsburgh, Lafayette College, Bilkent University, METU Northern Cyprus, and University of Cologne.

CHAIRS

Anoush Tamar Suni is the 2020-2023 Keyman Modern Turkish Studies Postdoctoral Fellow at Northwestern University. She earned her PhD in anthropology from the University of California, Los Angeles in 2019. For her doctoral dissertation, entitled "Palimpsests of Violence: Ruination and the Politics of Memory in Anatolia," she spent over two years (2015-2017) in the region of Van, in southeastern Turkey, conducting ethnographic research. She is currently working on her book project, which investigates questions of memory and the material legacies of state violence in the region of Van with a focus on the historic Armenian and contemporary Kurdish communities. Prior to coming to Northwestern, she was a Manoogian Postdoctoral Research Fellow in the Armenian Studies Program and the Department of Anthropology at the University of Michigan. Her research interests include state and intercommunal violence, memory, materiality and landscape, cultural heritage, space and place, and political and historical anthropology in Turkey, Armenia, Kurdistan, and the broader Middle East.

Ekin Kurtiç (Ph.D., Harvard University, 2019) is a sociocultural anthropologist whose research is at the intersection of environmental humanities, social studies of infrastructure and technopolitics, and political ecology. She is the 2022-2024 Keyman Modern Turkish Studies Postdoctoral Fellow at Northwestern University. While at Northwestern, Kurtiç is working on her first book manuscript, Sedimented Landscapes: Building Dams, Restoring Ecologies in the Çoruh Basin, which critically examines state-led projects of restoring and salvaging nature in the process of large dam building in Turkey. Sedimented Landscapes shows that dam building is a central site of governing the socio-material space and enacting state power not only through environmental conquest but also through conservation. By tracing the role of the sediment carried by river waters in dammed landscapes, her book analyzes the making of state, techno-environmental expertise, and rural lives through the governance of infrastructure and ecology. Kurtiç is also developing a new book project on the techno-ecopolitics of reframing soil as a "carbon sink" against the backdrop of the climate crisis and its implications for human and non-human lives in agricultural and pastoral landscapes of Turkey. Prior to coming to Northwestern, she was a Junior Research Fellow at the Crown Center for Middle East Studies at Brandeis University.

Lauren Stokes (Ph.D., University of Chicago, 2016) is a historian of modern Germany, with a particular focus on migration and race in German history. She is currently at work on a book manuscript about "family reunification" for foreign workers in West Germany, one that explores the ways that regulation of the intimate sphere was linked to broader processes of labor migration, national identity formation, and European integration. She currently conducts research in German, Spanish, Italian, and Turkish.

Thadeus Dowad is an assistant professor in the Art History Department at Northwestern. He specializes in the art and architectural history of the Ottoman Empire and Europe in the 18th and 19th centuries, with an emphasis on the transregional impacts of capitalism, empire-building, slavery, and revolutionary politics on metropolitan and colonial artistic cultures. He is particularly interested in the history of French imperialism in Islamic West Asia and North Africa as the framework for an integrated history of art before World War I. Other areas of research and teaching include transcultural histories of portraiture; European and Ottoman Orientalisms; queerness in Islamic art; and the history of *turquerie*.